Challenges of Hemostasis in Cancer Patients

VTE Risk Assessment

Cihan Ay, MD Associate Professor

Clinical Division of Haematology and Haemostaseology Department of Medicine I, Comprehensive Cancer Center Vienna Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria











Faculty Disclosure

Х	No, nothing to disclose
	Yes, please specify:

Company Name	Honoraria/ Expenses	Consulting/ Advisory Board	Funded Research	Royalties/ Patent	Stock Options	Ownership/ Equity Position	Employee	Other (please specify)
Example: company XYZ	x		x		x			

Thrombosis and Cancer





Ay C, Pabinger I & Cohen AT. Thromb Haemost. 2017;117(2):219-230.

Prevalence of VTE According to Cancer Types

• Patients with active cancer and a first VTE (N=6592)





Thrombosis and Cancer - Cancer and Thrombosis



1 in 5 patients with cancer will develop VTE*





Ay C, Pabinger I & Cohen AT. Thromb Haemost. 2017;117(2):219-230.

Rates of VTE in Patients With Cancer

• Vienna Cancer and Thrombosis Study (CATS)





Cancer and Thrombosis - Burden of Disease



Urgently needed

(Improving) risk assessment \rightarrow Identification of patients at high risk \rightarrow (Primary) prevention of VTE



Prandoni P. Blood 2002; 100: 3484-8; Khorana AA. J Thromb Haemost. 2007;5(3):632-4; Naess IA et al, J Thromb Haemost 2007;5(4):692-9; Chew HK. Arch Intern Med 2006;166(4):458-64

Cancer and Thrombosis - Burden of Disease

- VTE in patients with cancer increases the risk of morbidity and mortality
 - VTE is a leading cause of death in cancer
 - Risk of mortality 3.7-fold [95% CI: 1.3-14.4] higher in cancer patient with VTE (adjusted for tumor stage, age and ethnicity)
 - Case-fatality rate at 30-days: 25%



- High risk of VTE recurrence in patients with cancer (3-fold)
- High risk of bleeding during anticoagulation (2-fold)

Prandoni P. Blood 2002; 100: 3484-8; Khorana AA. J Thromb Haemost. 2007;5(3):632-4; Naess IA et al, J Thromb Haemost 2007;5(4):692-9; Chew HK. Arch Intern Med 2006;166(4):458-64



Risk Factors for VTE in Cancer Patients

Patient-related Medical comorbidities (CCI ≥3) Presence of varicose veins Prior VTE Hereditary risk factors (eg, factor V Leiden) Site of cancer <u>Very High</u>: stomach, pancreas, brain High: lung, hematologic, gynaecologic, renal, bladder Histological grade of a tumour Stage of cancer/metastases Time since cancer diagnosis

Cancer-Associated VTE Risk

Treatment-related

- Platinum-based and other chemotherapy
- Anti-angiogenesis agents
- Hormonal therapy
- Surgery
- Radiotherapy
- Blood transfusion
- Central venous catheters
- Hospitalization and immobility

Biomarkers

- Hematologic biomarkers (eg, platelet, haemoglobin, leukocyte counts)
- D-dimer
- P-selectin
- Prothrombin fragment 1 + 2
- Thrombin generation potential
- MP-tissue factor activity
- C-reactive protein, VEGF, MPV, etc.

Ay C, Pabinger I & Cohen AT. Thromb Haemost. 2017;117(2):219-230.



Risk Factors for Cancer-associated VTE





Risk Assessment of VTE in Patients With Cancer

• Prediction of cancer-associated VTE during chemotherapy with the "Khorana-Score" (follow-up 2.4 months)

Patient characteristic	
Site of cancer	
Very high risk (stomach, pancreas)	2
High risk (lung, lymphoma, gynecologic, bladder, testicular)	1
Prechemotherapy platelet count 350 $ imes$ 10 9 /L or more	1
Hemoglobin level less than 100 g/L or use of red cell growth factors	1
Prechemotherapy leukocyte count more than 11 $ imes$ 10 ⁹ /L	1
BMI 35 kg/m² or more	1



Khorana et al, Blood 2008









External Validation of the Khorana Risk Score

Table 5. Multivariate Analysis of Baseline and Treatment Variables						
Variable	Odds Ratio	95% CI	Adjusted P			
Sex			.15			
Male	1					
Female	1.31	0.91 to 1.88				
Age (per 10-year increase)	1.19	1.02 to 1.39	.03			
Race/ethnicity			.51			
White	1					
Asian	0.87	0.41 to 1.85				
African American	1.43	0.74 to 2.76				
KPS (per 10-unit increase)	0.92	0.86 to 0.98	.02			
Central venous catheter/pacemaker	1.61	1.10 to 2.36	.01			
Stage			.57			
Early	1					
Locally advanced	0.84	0.41 to 1.72				
Metastatic	1.03	0.50 to 2.13				
Khorana risk group			.04			
Low	1					
Intermediate	1.33	0.81 to 2.16				
High	2.06	1.16 to 3.65				

Abbreviation: KPS, Karnofsky performance status.

Table 4. Venous thromboembolism according to age, time from first

 tumor diagnosis, Khorana score and the use of antiangiogenic agents:

 multivariate analysis

Covariates	Chi-square	P-value	HR (95% CI)
Age	2.3749	0.1233	1.019 (0.995-1.044)
Time from first tumor	2.1908	0.1388	0.921 (0.825-1.027)
diagnosis (years)			
Khorana score			
High (≥3)	15.9257	< 0.0001	7.876 (2.858-21.704)
Intermediate (1–2)	6.6582	0.0099	2.747 (1.275-5.919)
Low (0)	_	_	1*
Antiangiogenic with cyt	otoxic		
Yes	1.6730	0.1959	1.617 (0.781-3.352)
No	_	_	1*

*Reference class.

Moore et al, J Clin Oncol 2011, Mandala et al, Ann Onc 2012



"Expanded" Risk Prediction Scores for VTE in Cancer Patients

Item	Khorana	Vienna CATS	PROTECHT	CONKO	
	score	score	score	score	
	(points)	(points)	(points)	(points)	
Pancreatic or gastric cancer (very high-risk tumors)	+2	+2	+2	+2	
Lung, gynecological, lymphoma, bladder, or testicular (high-risk tumors)	+1	+1	+1	+1	
Pre-chemotherapy hemoglobin <10 g/dL or use of erythropoietin stimulating agents	+1	+1	+1	+1	_
Pre-chemotherapy white blood cell count >11 x 10 ^o /L	+1	+1	+1	+1	
Pre-chemotherapy platelet count ≥350 x 10 ⁹ /L	+1	+1	+1	+1	
Body Mass Index >35 kg/m ²	+1	+1	+1	-	
D-dimer >1.44 µg/L	2 14	+1		-	
Soluble P-selectin >53.1 ng/L		+1		-	
Gemcitabine chemotherapy	3. 4	-	+1	-	
Platinum-based chemotherapy	u 	-	+1		
WHO performance status ≥2	2 		14 0	+1	



Cumulative Incidence of Venous Thromboembolism in Low and High Risk Patients





van Es et al. Haematologica 2017;102(9):1494-1501.

HOT OFF THE PRESS!

A clinical prediction model for cancer-associated venous thromboembolism: a development and validation study in two independent prospective cohorts

Ingrid Pabinger, Nick van Es, Georg Heinze, Florian Posch, Julia Riedl, Eva-Maria Reitter, Marcello Di Nisio, Gabriela Cesarman-Maus, Noémie Kraaijpoel, Christoph Carl Zielinski, Harry Roger Büller, Cihan Ay



Development of the model (in CATS)

Penalized regression approach (LASSO, R library glmnet)* with cause-specific VTE hazards over 12 months (in order to increase model stability) for selection of prognostic variables for the clinical prediction model from a large pool of clinical and laboratory candidate variables



Clinical Prediction Rule

- Tumour site category
 - "Low/intermediate"

Breast, prostate

"high"

Multivariable SHR 1.96 (95% CI 1.41-2.72)

Lung, colorectal, lymphoma, genitourinary excluding prostate, gynecologic excluding breast, esophageal, others

"Very high"

Stomach, pancreas

• D-Dimer (μ g/mL)

as continuous variable

Multivariable SHR 1.32 (95% CI 1.12-1.56)

*This model compared to Khorana score: Population-weighted net reclassification improvement (NRI)=0.31

Nomogram for predicting the 6-month risk of cancerassociated VTE



For more on the risk calculator see: catscore.meduniwien.ac.at



Nomogram for predicting the 6-month risk of cancerassociated VTE



For more on the risk calculator see: catscore.meduniwien.ac.at



Risk Assessment for VTE ASCO Guidelines Recommendation

CLINICAL QUESTION 6

What is known about risk prediction and awareness of VTE among patients with cancer?

Recommendation 6.1

Based on consensus, the Panel recommends that patients with cancer be assessed for VTE risk at the time of chemotherapy initiation and periodically thereafter. Individual risk factors, including biomarkers and cancer site, do not reliably identify patients with cancer at high risk of VTE. In the outpatient setting, risk assessment can be conducted based on a validated risk assessment tool (Table 5).

Recommendation 6.2

Based on consensus, the Panel recommends that oncologists educate patients regarding VTE, particularly in settings that increase risk such as major surgery, hospitalization, and while receiving systemic antineoplastic therapy.



Summary & Take Home Messages

- VTE is frequent in subgroups of patients with cancer
- Multiple risk factors contribute to occurrence of VTE in patients with cancer
- It is possible to identify high risk patients by clinical and laboratory parameters
- Risk assessment models seem to be promising
- Advances in risk assessment since the publication of the "Khorana Score"
 - A novel (externally validated) clinical prediction model includes two variables: tumour site category ("low/intermediate", "high" and "very high" VTE-risk tumor site) and D-Dimer.
- Improving risk prediction might facilitate decision making on primary thromboprophylaxis













cihan.ay@meduniwien.ac.at