

NICSO (Italian Network of Supportive Care in Cancer)
RESEARCH PROGRAM

MASCC/ISOO

Annual Meeting on Suppportive Care in Cancer

www.mascc.org/meeting

Follow us on Twitter: @CancerCareMASCC







Conflict of Interest Disclosure

Paolo Bossi, MD

 Consulting Fees (e.g., advisory boards): Roche, Merck Serono, Kyowa Kirin, AstraZeneca, MSD, Angelini, SunPharma and Sanofi





Clinical trials and scientific projects by NICSO

 Mission of NICSO is developing trials and increasing knowledge about supportive care in patients during all their pathway of care





Clinical trials and scientific projects by NICSO

- Monitoring adverse events (AE) by oncological treatments – National trial
- Defining treatment with immunotherapy (IT) in challenging populations at higher risk of AE
- Real world data from challenging pts treated with Immunotherapy



Clinical trials by NICSO

Studio Nazionale NICSO: Progetto Di Monitoraggio Medico-Infermieristico Degli Effetti Collaterali Da Terapie Oncologiche



PHYSICIAN AND NURSE-BASED STRATEGIES
TO MONITOR ADVERSE EVENTS BY
ONCOLOGICAL TREATMENTS

Background

 <u>Lack of compliance to guidelines</u> in supportive care during oncological treatments causes an <u>increased</u> frequency and duration of AEs



 Early discovery of AEs may offer a better approach and a reduction of severity and duration

Background

 Better management of AEs may favorably impact on respect of treatment dose-intensity



 Employing PRO measures of toxicities allow to improve sensitivity and may increase pt QoL and survival

Open questions

How to better assess and monitor toxicities induced by treatments?

Who should be in charge of evaluating toxicities?

Could we empower patients in AEs prevention?

How much the prompt evaluation of toxicities may reduce time spent with toxicities?



Study Design

Multicenter, randomized, open label trial comparing

the use of a standard «dashboard» to guide prevention/ treatment of Tox given by the physician

the same indications of the «dashboard» + a periodic empowerment of the patient through a phone call by a specialized nurse



Objectives of the study

PRIMARY:

to assess number of days with any toxicity (evaluated by PRO CTCAE) grade ≥ 3

SECONDARY:

- Incidence and duration AEs grade 1-2;
- Number of unplanned access to ER or to unplanned visits;
- Number of days spent as inpatient due to Toxicities
- Quality of life

Exploratory:

Dose intensity of oncologic treatment





Study population

Any kind of patient with solid tumour treated for the first time with

- Adjuvant chemotherapy
- Targeted agents
- Immunotherapy





Inclusion criteria

Adjuvant chemotherapy:

- Antracyclin + cyclofosfamide ± taxanes (Breast cancer)
- Oxaliplatin + fluoropirimidine (Colon cancer)
- Platinum based therapies (Lung cancer)

Targeted agents:

- sunutinib, pazopanib (Kidney cancer)
- gefitinib, erlotinib, afanitinib, crizotinib (Lung cancer)
- vemurafenib ± comimetinib, dabrafenib±trametinib (Melanoma)
- everolimus ± exemestame (Breast cancer)
- CKI (Breast cancer)
- Vandetanib, lenvatinib (Thyroid cancer)
- vismodegib (BCC)
- imatinib (GIST)

Immunotherapy:

- anti CTLA4
- antiPD1/PDL-1





Dashboard and Nurse phone call

- **DASHBOARD** = Informative sheet, built with the expertise of a multidisciplinary team and containing the updated guidelines for prevention and treatment of AEs due to the different treatments
- **NURSE PHONE CALL**: phone call made weekly by a group of experienced nurses, with the aim of coaching the patient and empowering him/her to recognize and treat AEs due to the therapy.
 - Nurses will guide patients to choose the right action with regard to toxicities.





Tools to measure endpoints

 PRO CTCAE: administered to all the patients weekly, for 4-6 months



- EORTC QLQ-C30: administered every month
- Dose intensity assessment

Number of unplanned visits and ER accesses measured through clinical charts

Statistical considerations

• Estimated prevalence of 15% (time spent with tox grade 3-4), with power = 0.9 and alpha = 0.05, we would like to show a reduction to 5% of the time spent with tox grade 3-4 in the experimental arm



• Sample size = 207 pts per arm in each group of treatment (1252 pts overall)

24 months of accrual



NICSO Centers

53 Centers involved in Italy

415 pts enrolled till now

First results: Jan 2020





Expectations

- To define standard of care in monitoring toxicities according to each treatment
- To prospectively describe the pattern of toxicities with PRO
- To assess the use of emergency services



Defining treatment with immunotherapy (IT) in challenging populations at higher risk of AE



 Immunotherapy has been approved in several indications based on the positive results of phase III trials

 However, phase III trials are not fully representative of the whole population, as several exclusion criteria exist



Challenging populations at higher risk of AE

WHO ARE THEY?

- Elderly pts
- Pts with underlying major infections (HBV/HCV/HIV)
- Pts with autoimmune diseases of any kind
- Solid transplant recipients
- Pts with lymphoproliferative disease or receiving transplant for hematological malignancy



Room for IT in challenging populations at higher risk of AE?

 We performed an extensive lyterature review to evaluate the existing data and to give expert opinions of a multidisciplinary group of physicians involved in care of pts with immunotherapy induced toxicities





Elderly pts

- Older adults with good performance status appear to benefit similarly to singleagent checkpoint inhibitor therapy as their younger counterparts
- Overall toxicity appears similar, but hospitalizations and influence of poor funtional status and multimorbidity in the real world remain.
- The role of a geriatric assessment for older adults receiving immunotherapies remains unclear but may be useful to gauge fitness for more intense therapies, such as combined immunotherapy, chemoimmunotherapy, or chemotherapy/radiation plus immunotherapy strategies
- More research is needed to evaluate the correlation between markers of immunosenescence among older adults receiving immunotherapy and the effect of these relationships on biological, clinical, functional, and patient-reported outcomes.





Pts with HBV infection

- Patients with active HBV infection (HBsAg pos, HBV DNA ≥2,000 IU/mL, ALT>ULN) should be put on long-term therapy with entecavir or tenofovir, until HBsAg seroconversion
- Inactive carriers of HBsAg (HBsAg pos, HBeAb pos, HBV DNA <2,000 IU/mL, ALT normal) receiving ICIs have to receive prophylaxis with lamivudine, entecavir or tenofovir, until 12-18 months after completion of ICIs, although risk of reactivation is probably low</p>
- In patients with cured HBV infection (HBsAg neg, HBcAb pos, HBsAg pos or neg) receiving ICIs, should be monitored for HBsAg or HBV DNA without active therapy



Pts with HCV infection

Treatment with ICIs appears to be safe in patients with chronic HCV infection



Treatment for HCV should be offered to all patients with detectable viral load

Safe and successful treatment in the presence of an untreated hepatitis C infection with a detectable viral load has been reported



Pts with autoimmune diseases

- ▶ ICI can induce a wide variety of rheumatic irAEs either in previously undiseased patients or in those with pre-existing autoimmune conditions
- Reports of rheumatic irAEs have been sparse and were only described in case reports or small series
- Frequency of rheumatic irAEs in previously undiseased patients is variable and arthralgias and mialgias seem the most frequent
- Frequency of rheumatic irAEs in patients already affected by rheumatic diseases who develop cancer in the course of their diseases is higher
- ▶ Severe events are rare and in most cases steroid therapy is resolutive





Real world data from challenging pts treated with Immunotherapy

2019
21-23 JUNE
SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTIVE CARE
MAKES EXCELLENT
CANCER CARE POSSIBLE

 Through Phase IV clinical studies, new drugs can be tested continuously to uncover more information about <u>efficacy</u>, <u>safety and side effects</u> after being approved for marketing



Phase IV trials: are necessary?



✓ YES!

Phase I-III trial are conducted in a **relatively limited number of patients**:

→ Therefore, when looking at rarer AEs, it is possible that they are under-reported or even not reported!

RARER ADVERSE EVENTS

The case of cardiotoxicity.

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

BRIEF REPORT

Fulminant Myocarditis with Combination Immune Checkpoint Blockade

Probl Cancer 42 (2018) 422-432

ts lists available at ScienceDirect

Curr Probl Cancer

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cpcancer



REVIEW ARTICLE

Douglas B. Johnson, M.D., Justin M. Balko, Pharm.D., Ph.D.,

Potential cardiac risk of immune-checkpoint blockade as anticancer treatment: What we know, what we do not know, and what we can do to prevent adverse effects

Paolo Spallarossa¹ | Giovanni Meliota¹ | Claudio Brunelli¹

Cardiotoxicities associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors

Shu Yanga, Aarti Asnanib,*





RARER ADVERSE EVENTS

Cardiovascular toxicities associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: an observational, retrospective, pharmacovigilance study

Lanc Oncol Nov 2018

2019
21-23 JUNE
SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTIVE CARE
MAKES EXCELLENT
CANCER CARE POSSIBLE

Joe-Elie Salem, Ali Manouchehri, Melissa Moey, Bénédicte Lebrun-Vignes, Lisa Bastarache, Antoine Pariente, Aurélien Gobert, Jean-Philippe Spano, Justin M Balko, Marc P Bonaca, Dan M Roden, Douglas B Johnson, Javid J Moslehi

ICI > more myocarditis, pericardial diseases, supraventricular arrhythmias, and vasculitis compared with adv events in the full database

Phase IV trial in SELECTED population

2019
21-23 JUNE
SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTIVE CARE
MAKES EXCELLENT
CANCER CARE POSSIBLE

 Opposite selection in respect to registration trial... only specific subgroups not included (or less-included) in clinical trials

Aim: to test the drug effect in specific populations (subpopulations)

IMMUNOTHERAPY IN PATIENT POPULATIONS AT HIGHER RISK OF ADVERSE EVENTS

- Observational phase 4 multicenter study, in challenging populations treated with immunotherapy per clinical practice.
- Aim: is to observe the rate of high-grade toxicities in this specific population. Results will be indirectly compared to what observed for "fit patients" normally represented in clinical trials.



COOPERATION IS THE KEY OF SUCCESS, ALSO IN SUPPORTIVE CARE!



